




Tools for early detection of metabolic risk in MAFLD






Prof. Jose Sollano (Chair)
University of Santo Tomas Manila, Manila, Philippines







Patients with MAFLD vs without MAFLD have higher risk of the following

 <p>Atherosclerosis, CAD</p> <p>Meta-analysis (14 studies; N=2932)¹ High risk of CIMT, CPs, CAD</p> <p>Cross-sectional study (N=5121)² High risk of any AP, NCP</p>	 <p>High mortality/ low survival</p> <p>10-year survival: 77% vs 87%, p<0.005, N=420)³</p> <p>Major causes of death⁴ in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MAFLD: Cancer, CV events • NASH: CVD, extrahepatic cancer 	 <p>Fatal or non-fatal CVD events</p> <p>Meta-analysis (16 studies; N=34043): ~ 2600 CVD events⁵</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MAFLD: high risk of CVD mortality • NASH: high risk of liver related mortality
--	--	---

Available diagnostic tools

 <p>Liver enzymes</p>	Not reliable
 <p>Ultrasound</p>	Inexpensive and accessible; cannot distinguish fibrosis and steatosis
 <p>CT without contrast</p>	Better in morbid obesity; less accurate with less steatosis
 <p>MRI</p>	Detects mild steatosis, quantifies hepatic fat most accurately
 <p>Liver biopsy</p>	Gold standard, but invasive and subject to sampling error

Diagnostic accuracy of TE for fibrosis

S0-S2 stage	VS	S3 stage
 <p>TE-CAP</p> <p>High diagnostic accuracy⁶ with high sensitivity and specificity; HSROC: 0.85</p>		 <p>TE-CAP</p> <p>Relatively low diagnostic accuracy⁶ with lower sensitivity and specificity; HSROC: 0.79</p>
 <p>MRI-PDFF</p> <p>High diagnostic accuracy for ≤S2 and ≥S3 with HSROC values of 0.93 and 0.91, respectively⁶</p> 		

EPL for MAFLD associated with metabolic syndrome

<p>Meta-analysis of RCTs comparing the effect of treatment with EPL + AD vs AD therapy alone⁷</p>	<p>Change in ALT (3 studies, N=371) mean treatment duration, 1.97 mo</p>	<p>Change in TG levels (4 studies, N=445) mean treatment duration, 2.1 mo</p>	<p>Change in total cholesterol level (3 studies, N=359) mean treatment duration, 2.27 mo</p>
--	---	--	---

Key messages

<p>MAFLD increases the risk of subclinical atherosclerosis, CAD, CVD events and mortality</p>	<p>Ultrasound is preferable for initial diagnosis, due to low expense and ease of access</p>	<p>MRI-PDFF is preferable for diagnosis with high sensitivity and high specificity across all fibrosis stages</p>
---	--	---

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AP, atherosclerotic plaque; CAD, coronary artery disease; CAP, controlled attenuation parameter; CIMT, carotid intima-media thickness; CP, carotid plaques; CVD, cardiovascular disease; CT, computed tomography; EPL, essential phospholipid; HSROC, hierarchical summary receiver operating characteristic curves; MAFLD, metabolic-associated liver disease; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; NCP, non-calcified plaque; PDFF, proton density fat fraction; RCT, randomized controlled trial; TE, transient elastography; TG, triglyceride

1. Ampuero J, et al. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2015;107:10-16; 2. Lee SB, et al. J Hepatol 2018;68:1018-24; 3. Adams LA, et al. Gastroenterology 2005;129:113-21; 4. Ekstedt M, et al. Hepatology 2006;44:865-73; 5. Targher G, et al. J Hepatol 2016;65:589-600; 6. Gu Q et al. Eur J Clin Invest 2021;51:e13446; 7. Dajani A, et al. World J Clin Cases 2020;8:5235-49